

[Opinion](#)
[Spirituality](#)



(Unsplash/Chuttersnap)



by Bill Tammeus

[View Author Profile](#)
wtammeus@gmail.com

[**Join the Conversation**](#)

October 9, 2017

[Share on Bluesky](#)[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

Before moving past this month's 500th anniversary of the start of the Protestant Reformation, let's think about what a recent [Pew Forum survey](#) says about Catholics and Protestants in the U.S. today.




Here's a primary conclusion I draw: Many of us don't know lots about theology. We don't understand much of our own faith tradition's history and we're willing to adopt ideas in conflict with that history. In other words, many of us live theologically unexamined lives. We're theological and biblical illiterates.

The Pew survey, for instance, reports that "many U.S. Protestants espouse traditionally Catholic beliefs on some issues.




"For example, nearly half of U.S. Protestants today (46%) say faith alone is needed to attain salvation (a belief held by Protestant reformers in the 16th century, known in Latin as *sola fide*). But about half (52%) say both good deeds and faith are needed to get into heaven, a historically Catholic belief."

U.S. Protestants split on *sola fide*, *sola scriptura*; in issues connected to Reformation, U.S. Catholics mostly echo traditional church views

% of U.S. Protestants who believe ...



% of U.S. Catholics who believe ...



Note: Figures may not add to 100% or to subtotals indicated due to rounding. Analysis of share who believe in both based on those who answered both questions.

Source: Survey conducted May 30-Aug. 9, 2017.

"U.S. Protestants Are Not Defined by Reformation-Era Controversies 500 Years Later"

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

That Pew wording "nearly half" seems misleading, making it sound as though 46 percent is a big number. It should say "barely half," to suggest that it's a surprisingly low number, which it is.

After all, "faith alone," or *sola fide*, rooted in *sola scriptura* (scripture alone), the most important of the [four other solas](#), became a primary distinction in the 1500s between Protestants and Catholics. Luther specifically rejected the idea that he needed the pope or other Catholic leaders to tell him what Scripture meant. Each individual, he said, could figure that out alone.

It's been only in recent decades that Lutherans and Catholics (and, more recently, Reformed Protestants and Catholics) [have agreed](#) that salvation is solely dependent on God's grace, not a bit on our works or merit.

I don't know if the 52 percent of Protestants who didn't understand that are among the 46 percent who seem not to understand the Protestant belief in *sola scriptura*. But many of them probably are.

Advertisement

In a strange way, this kind of widespread ignorance is helpful in that, unlike in the early 1500s, Christians for the most part today aren't out killing other Christians to convince them that they're wrong. Such wars went on for decades in various parts of Europe after the start of the Reformation. And often those wars were Protestant against Protestant. (The book to read is [Rebel in the Ranks](#) by Brad S. Gregory.)

In other words, perhaps religious ignorance really is bliss. If you don't care about it, you won't fight over it.

But how much better it would be if, instead of flabby agreement on — or disinterest in — previously divisive points of faith, we could understand in detail what we believe and why it differs from what others believe? That might bring us to the possibility of meaningful discoveries of surprising common ground or an agreement to live in peace despite our sometimes-profound differences.

I'm reminded of what an Orthodox rabbi once told me about interfaith dialogue. A lot of it amounts to "interfaithless dialogue," he said, in which people with nominal commitments to a faith tradition and not much knowledge of other traditions come together and agree on generalities that they would have agreed on even if they'd never met.

"It's insulting, actually," he said. True interfaith dialogue is hard, it takes lots of time and it presumes a reasonably deep knowledge of what you're talking about.

But I suppose no one should be surprised to discover the ignorance the Pew study reveals when it tells us that about 30 percent of the Protestants didn't quite know what the Reformation was and couldn't name Martin Luther as its progenitor.

I'm not asking that we return to the days when much daily conversation on the streets consisted of arguments about what happens in the Eucharist. But if we don't understand the essential tenets of our faith, how can we use them to motivate us to

help heal a wounded world?

[Bill Tammeus, a Presbyterian elder and former award-winning Faith columnist for The Kansas City Star, writes the daily "[Faith Matters](#)" blog for The Star's Web site and a column for The Presbyterian Outlook. His latest book is [*The Value of Doubt: Why Unanswered Questions, Not Unquestioned Answers, Build Faith*](#). E-mail him at wtammeus@gmail.com.]