

[News](#)



Philadelphia Archbishop Nelson J. Perez delivers the homily at the Cathedral Basilica of SS. Peter and Paul in Philadelphia April 6, 2020. (CNS photo/Sarah Webb, CatholicPhilly.com)

Carol Zimmermann

[View Author Profile](#)



Catholic News Service

[View Author Profile](#)

[Join the Conversation](#)

Washington — April 15, 2020

[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

As the coronavirus pandemic has swept across the country, churches have been forced to follow states' stay-in-place guidelines, which some see as an infringement of their religious freedom.

As long as places of worship aren't singled out, but are closed just as restaurants and movie theaters are, states are within their legal rights to close them during the pandemic, said Michael Moreland, professor of law and religion at Villanova University's Charles Widger School of Law.

Moreland, speaking during an April 11 teleconference sponsored by the Federalist Society, noted that questions will arise and will need to be addressed if these closures are expected to remain in place over an extended period.

Jonathan Turley, the Shapiro professor of public interest law at George Washington University, said places of worship that say they should be allowed to stay open would "convert the free exercise clause into a suicide pact of sorts. The interpretation not only puts the faithful at risk of infection but also their communities. "

Writing in The Hill online newspaper, he added: "No constitutional rights are truly absolute. Rights such as free exercise of religion and free speech can be overcome with a sufficiently compelling purpose of state and the least restrictive means of achieving that purpose. There is nothing more compelling than battling a pandemic,

and limiting gathering size is the only effective deterrent to the coronavirus spreading until a vaccine can be made available."

But as the nation has struggled to curb the spread of the virus and governors have banned gatherings of more than 10 people, there also has been a varied response from state to state on just what this means for places of worship.

Some states have banned in-person worship services altogether while others have made exceptions for some religious gatherings under certain circumstances and with observation of the 6-foot social-distancing policies.

In Florida, Gov. Ron DeSantis issued a stay-at-home order April 7 that exempted essential needs including "attending religious services conducted in churches, synagogues and houses of worship."

And in Kansas, a decision was announced the night before Easter by the state's Supreme Court upholding Gov. Laura Kelly's executive order banning religious services of more than 10 people.

The governor had filed suit after the state's Republican-controlled Legislature overturned her ruling.

Places of worship are getting some mixed messages: Some states are allowing people to gather if they remain in their cars, others allow small group settings with social distancing in place, while most people are attending services on their

computers with livestreamed programs. This confusion has come to the Justice Department's attention, which has said it is reviewing local governments' regulation of religious services and will take action to address local policies in upcoming days near mid-April.

On April 14, the Justice Department sided with a Mississippi Baptist church that claimed the city of Greenville was preventing it from holding drive-in church services that complied with social-distancing guidelines.

"The facts alleged in the complaint strongly suggest that the city's actions target religious conduct," which violates the First Amendment, the department's lawyers wrote.

U.S. Attorney General William Barr said in an April 14 statement the Justice Department will work to uphold the constitutional right to freely practice religion as states and cities seek to contain the spread of the coronavirus by limiting worship services.

Across the country, Catholic bishops separately announced decisions starting in mid-March to stop public Masses in their dioceses to curb the spread of the coronavirus.

The New York Archdiocese made the announcement of no public Masses March 14. Nearly a month later, New York Cardinal Timothy M. Dolan was asked on CBS's "Face the Nation" April 12 about when the archdiocese might consider reopening its doors and if it would maintain social distancing.

Advertisement

In response, he said: "God gave us common sense, and God told us we have to pay attention to the common good." He said church officials would listen to experts, physicians, scientists and civic officials.

To those who want to drive until they find an open church where they can publicly worship, he said: "God is telling us use your brain. Use your prudence. Use your common sense. Don't tempt the Lord."

Not all Catholics, or Catholic leaders, have viewed the situation in this way.

Cardinal Raymond L. Burke wrote on his website March 21 that it is "essential for us, at all times and above all in times of crisis, to have access to our churches and chapels, to the sacraments, and to public devotions and prayers."

"Just as we are able to purchase food and medicine, while taking care not to spread the coronavirus in the process, so also we must be able to pray in our churches and chapels, receive the sacraments and engage in acts of public prayer and devotion," he added.

Some have put their desire to see Catholic churches reopen in the form of petitions. A petition on change.org, signed by 475 people April 14, urges the U.S. bishops to reopen churches saying this could happen with compliance to current local and state restrictions by limiting group sizes through a ticketing or numbering system.

It says: "Grocery stores remain open with certain restrictions because they are

considered essential. This is the very rationale under which Mass should remain accessible. Holy Mass is essential."

Another petition getting some more attention, similarly, asks the bishops to open churches. The petition, called "We Are an Easter People," was launched by Kari Beckman, founder and executive director of Regina Caeli Academy, a home school program, and Janet Smith, a retired moral theologian.

The petition, signed by more than 26,000 people April 14, describes the sacraments as the personal protective equipment of Catholics of which they are now deprived in the current shutdown orders.

The group urges, among other things, that the bishops "demand that civil authorities recognize religious services as essential services" and "demand that civil authorities permit events such as offering and attending a Mass in a parking lot, if they are currently prohibited."

The petition also specifically emphasized Easter, asking bishops to "do everything you can to make possible some form of a public Mass, especially the Easter liturgy, and then encourage pastors to conduct it" or to "offer the Mass yourself in public, especially the Easter liturgy."

Petitions have not been the only response to this current frustration. There also have been calls on social media for priests to celebrate underground Masses.

Baltimore Archbishop William E. Lori, former chair of the U.S. bishops' religious liberty committee, said heeding the government's orders to suspend religious services "does not in any way attack or undermine our faith," nor does he see this as a violation of religious liberty.

In an interview published April 9 by Crux, a Catholic online news outlet, the archbishop said the current suspension of Masses and other sacraments is happening "out of pastoral love for both our people and our priests."

He said the church is "doing something important for the sake of our people, for the love for our people, recognizing how painful it is to have churches closed and not to be able to receive the sacraments."

This story appears in the **Coronavirus** feature series. [View the full series.](#)