

[Opinion](#)

[Guest Voices](#)



(Unsplash/Maria Oswald)



Phyllis Zagano

[View Author Profile](#)

Follow on Twitter at [@phylliszagano](#)

Religion News Service

[View Author Profile](#)

[Join the Conversation](#)

March 24, 2023

[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

Philosophers and moral theologians tell us that an action can be permitted if it intends to do good, citing the principle of "double effect." Not everyone agrees, leading to various recent collisions of policy and action.

In the United States, the Catholic Communion wars over abortion continue. Some bishops ban some Catholic politicians from the sacrament, while some do not.

Also in the U.S., a lay group is [tracking clergy](#) using gay dating apps, hiring data-mining services to turn up embarrassing findings.

So, what's a Catholic to do? Some bishops and lay groups have become the de facto Catholic morality police, while others disagree with their statements and tactics.

Who is right and who is wrong?



Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi, left, in 2020, and San Francisco Archbishop Salvatore Cordileone, right, in 2020 (RNS/AP photos)

Not long ago, every U.S. cleric — bishop, priest and deacon — received a reprint of Cardinal Raymond Burke's 2007 essay from *Periodica de Re Canonica*, the annual 700-page canon law journal of the Gregorian University in Rome. Burke documents the church's history of legislating against giving Communion to persons "obstinately persevering in manifest grave sin." It begs the question of what comprises such sin.

A San Diego group, [Catholic Action for Faith and Family](#), has reprinted, packaged and mailed the 64-page booklet, which retitles Burke's essay as "Deny Holy Communion?" Founded by Thomas J. McKenna, who acts as Burke's scheduler and is involved with several other lay Catholic organizations, Catholic Action for Faith and Family's two episcopal advisers are Burke himself and San Francisco Archbishop Salvatore J. Cordileone.

Determining what comprises "manifest grave sin" seems uppermost in the mind of Cordileone, who last year [banned](#) then-Speaker of the House of Representatives Nancy Pelosi from Communion in his archdiocese. In an April 2022 letter, Cordileone wrote to the speaker, who professes to be a devout Catholic, "You are not to present yourself for Holy Communion ... until such time as you publicly repudiate your advocacy for the legitimacy of abortion and confess and receive absolution of this grave sin."

[Related: At Georgetown, former Speaker Pelosi talks women priests, Archbishop Cordileone](#)

Therein lies the rub, and the confusion. On the other side of the country, Washington Archbishop Cardinal Wilton D. Gregory has said [he would not deny](#) Communion to President Joe Biden, another Catholic politician on the wrong side of Cordileone's reading of the law.

Late last month, Bishop Thomas J.J. Paprocki of the diocese of Springfield in Illinois, a canon lawyer who has banned legislators in his state who voted to allow abortion, threw mud into the larger equation with an ungentlemanly [critique](#) of San Diego's bishop, Cardinal Robert McElroy, who had published [an article](#) in *America* magazine advocating a more pastoral approach to related questions.

In the middle of all this, the Vatican — in the person of Pope Francis — opposes using Communion as a political weapon.

What does double effect have to do with the fracas? Well, President Biden and the former speaker say they are "personally opposed" to abortion even as they back measures to keep it legal and accessible. The stretch here is their argument that legalized abortion prevents a worse result. It is a stretch. Does this rise to the level of "manifest grave sin" requiring canonical penalties? The lawyer-bishops say yes. The pastoral bishops say no.

Advertisement

Which brings us to the other morality police, the Catholic Laity and Clergy for Renewal, a Denver group headed by a former employee of the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, which reportedly spent millions of dollars to track clerical use of Grindr, advertised as "the world's largest social-networking app for gay, bi, trans and queer people."

Despite canon law's insistence on not damaging individuals' reputations, the Catholic Laity and Clergy for Renewal does not see its spying as wrong. Founded in response to the scandal surrounding former Cardinal Theodore McCarrick, they say their aim is to protect the church. From what? Here, the argument of Military Archbishop [Timothy Broglio](#), president of the bishops' conference, rises: He connects priest pederasty with homosexuality.

For Catholic Laity and Clergy for Renewal, then, tracking and sharing clerics' use of hook-up apps has a good intent.

In July 2021, after the group shared its findings with various bishops and others about clerics' use of Grindr and its findings were published by the online newsletter The Pillar, Msgr. Jeffrey Burrill was forced to resign as general secretary of the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops. It did not affect his future ministry, however. He is now the administrator of a Wisconsin parish.

The result of all this? Are Catholics any better evangelized on the problem of abortion as a moral and political issue? Are the people of God better served when errant clerics are publicly excoriated?

Catholicism does not allow abortion or same-sex relations. That is well known. But is this evangelization? Is anyone even paying attention? Or have the church and Catholicism in general become ignored footnotes to the news?